



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/664,578	09/18/2000	Stephen C. Roderick	130244	3952	
25943	7590 01/24/2006		EXAMINER		
SCHWABE,	WILLIAMSON & WYA	BASHORE, WILLIAM L			
PACWEST CI	ENTER, SUITE 1900 TH AVENUE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
PORTLAND,		2176			
			DATE MAILED, 01/24/2004	•	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		09/664,57	<b>'</b> 8	RODERICK, STEPHEN C.					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		William L.		2176					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHO WHIC - Exten after: - If NO - Failur Any ro	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR INTERIOR STATUTORY PERIOD FOR INTERIOR IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IS INTERIOR IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IS INTERIOR IS INTERIOR IS LONGER INTERIOR INTE	NG DATE OF TH CFR 1.136(a). In no evention. y period will apply and will by statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATION  The control of th	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this over the MED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
2a)☐ 3)☐	Responsive to communication(s) filed or This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice u	☐ This action is nallowance except	on-final. for formal matters, p		e merits is				
Dispositi	on of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>3-7,9-11,13,14,18-20,23 and 28</u> 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>3-7,9-11,13,14,18-20,23 and 28</u> Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	ithdrawn from co 5-35 is/are rejecte	nsideration.						
Application	on Papers								
10) 🗆 -	The specification is objected to by the Ex The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[ Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	accepted or b) to the drawing(s) be correction is require	e held in abeyance. Sed if the drawing(s) is c	see 37 CFR 1.85(a). Objected to. See 37 C					
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>									
2) 🔲 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9		4) Interview Summa	Date					
3) 🔲 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/ No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application (PT	O-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/664,578 Page 2

Art Unit: 2176

# **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This non-final action is responsive to communications: RCE/amendment filed 11/7/2005, to the original application filed 9/18/2000, IDS filed 1/4/2002. Application is a continuation of U.S. Application serial no. 09/228,259 filed 1/11/1999 (now U.S. Patent No. 6,122,648).

2. Claims 3-7, 9-11, 13-14, 18-20, 23, 25-35 pending. Claims 27, 29, 31 are independent claims.

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

3. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/7/2005 has been entered.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claim 35 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In regard to dependent claim 35, it is noted that claim 35 is dependent upon claim 34. It is noted that claim 34 "and/or" can be interpreted as choosing one of two selections (a local or a remote information resource), however, claim 35 preserves the possibility of requiring both types of information resources. If a local

Application/Control Number: 09/664,578

Art Unit: 2176

٠,

source is selected, and as long as claim 34 does not specifically recite at least the presence and capability of both a local and remote resource (regardless if chosen by a user), then claim 35 remains indefinite.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 27, 29, 31-35, 3-4, 6-7, 13-14, 18, 23, 28 are rejected under 35 U. S. C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Blinn et al (5,897,622), in view of Nazem et al (5,983,227), and in view of Bijnagte (5,235,680) (said three references listed on Applicant's IDS).

As per independent apparatus claim 27 (and similarly, method claim 29, and storage medium claim 31), and dependent claims 6, 28, 30, 32, Blinn discloses processing queries, including the dynamic ,generation of web pages (see columns 3-4), in which a page is composed for display by processing a template having a request for information from an order.

Refer also to Blinn's figures 1, 2, 3A, 3B, 5, 10, 12, 14, in which various embodiments illustrating the operation of the dynamic page generator are disclosed, including a processor, storage device, presenting (i.e. provisioning) information to a user etc.. It is, noted that Blinn fails to disclose:

- (1) dependence upon whether or not the page already exists;
- (2) "product identifier".

However, Nazem discloses (see abstract, figure 2) a user template that is either generated from user preferences or retrieved from a cache of recently used user templates.

Application/Control Number: 09/664,578

Art Unit: 2176

• 3

Bijnagte discloses communicating real estate information (a form of product, hence a product identifier) between a host computer and a remote display terminal (see abstract). It is noted that Bijnagte's disclosure is directed toward providing real estate information (listings of real estate properties), and in view of Blinn's disclosure of processing merchant information (see columns 7-12, e.g., column 10, lines 5 et seq--The merchant system 120 provides a set of HIMI, pages dynamically generated from queries to a database 121 having store information, such as inventory data, advertising copy, product images, pricing, customer information and promotions.) One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to process queries that include said product identifiers, in order to help the user to narrow searches.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the inventions to Blinn,

Nazem, and Bijnagte in arriving at the instant invention because it would be faster to transmit a page that

already exists (as in the use of Nazem's cache) rather than always re-create pages that already exist (as in Blinn's

invention). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to apply Blinn and Nazem to the field

of real estate marketing (as in Bijnagte's invention) because it was well known at the time of the invention to

provide such information on the Internet. It would also allow realtors to provide custom templates for individual

clients for the purpose of showing properties.

In addition, although Blinn teaches a URL with a server and various identifiers (Blinn column 7 lines 14-26), Blinn does not specifically teach a resource identifier immediately following a server name. However, Nazem teaches a URL with a server name (quote.yahoo.com) with a resource identifier call to Dow Jones immediately after said server (quotes?SYMBOLS=^DJI&detailed=t) (see Nazem column 13 near middle of table listing). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to apply Nazem to Blinn, providing Blinn the benefit of adaptation to various types of URL resource calls.

As per dependent claims 3-4 and 7, (and similarly, claims 13-14, 18, and 23, 33), it is noted that Blinn and Nazem fail to teach details of "real estate identifier". However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to teach such details in view of Bijnagte's disclosure, which

Application/Control Number: 09/664,578

Art Unit: 2176

is directed toward providing real estate information, and in view of Blinn's disclosure of processing merchant information (see columns 7-12, e.g., column 10, lines 5 et seq--The merchant system 120 provides a set of HIMI, pages dynamically generated from queries to a database 121 having store information, such as inventory data, advertising copy, product images, pricing, customer information and promotions.) One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to process queries that include "ISPID", etc., in order to help the user to narrow searches.

As per dependent claims 34-35, although Blinn teaches a URL with a server and various identifiers (Blinn column 7 lines 14-26), Blinn does not specifically teach a resource identifier immediately following a server name. However, Nazem teaches a URL with a server name (quote.yahoo.com) with resource identifier call to Dow Jones (a remote information resource) immediately after said server (quotes?SYMBOLS=^DJI&detailed=t) (see Nazem column 13 near middle of table listing). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to apply Nazem to Blinn, providing Blinn the benefit of adaptation to various types of URL remote resource calls for gathering external information.

8. Claims 9-11, 19-20, and 25-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Blinn et al (5,897,622), Nazem et al (5,983,227), Bijnagte (5,235,680) as applied to claims 27, 29, 32 above, and further in view of Anderson et al (5,974,396) (listed on Applicant's IDS).

As per dependent claims 9-11, 19-20, and 25-26, it is noted that Blinn, Nazem, and Bijnagte fail to disclose "compiling and maintaining statistics" based on the marketing code or "report function". However, refer to Anderson's abstract; figures 1, 6, 12A, and 13; and columns 5-12. Anderson discloses gathering and analyzing customer and purchasing information based on buying habits, needs, demographics, etc. Anderson's system is used to generate reports in response to retailer queries. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the

Art Unit: 2176

art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Anderson with those of Blinn, Nazem, and Bijnagte in arriving at the instant invention because it would allow realtors to conveniently gather demographic details and other marketing data for their clients and for the realtors themselves.

9. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U. S. C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Blinn et al., Nazem et al, and Bijnagte, as applied to claim 27 above, and further in view of Kirkevold et al. (6,263,322).

As per dependent claim 5, Blinn et al. does not specifically teach a VIN code. However, Kirkevold et al. teaches querying via VIN code (Kirkevold et al. column 17 lines 35-56). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to apply Kirkevold et al. to Blinn et al., providing Blinn et al. the benefit of vehicle identification as part of resource identifiers, to broaden the scope of querying.

### Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments filed 11/7/2005 have been fully and carefully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues on page 7 of the amendment that claim 35 is not indefinite under 35 U.S.C. 112 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph. It is respectfully noted that "at least one or more" can be fairly interpreted as "one". Claim 34 does not claim that both local and remote resource capability is present (regardless of which is chosen), so that if local resource is chosen, the claimed remote resource in claim 35 would be present.

Regarding applicant's on page 8 of the amendment, real-estate property is a form of "product" and the examiner respectfully maintains that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to apply the product identifier to a URL as disclosed.

Application/Control Number: 09/664,578 Page 7

Art Unit: 2176

Conclusion

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be

directed to William L. Bashore whose telephone number is (571) 272-4088. The examiner can normally be

reached on 11:30am - 8:00pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather

Herndon can be reached on (571) 272-4136. The fax phone number for the organization where this application

or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

12. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from

either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-

9197 (toll-free).

Ulsani F. Worlan WILLIAM BASHORE PRIMARY EXAMINER

January 21, 2006